Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—They were not in the pigeon hole at one o'clock.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will again revert to this at 3 P. M., if necessary. This should not happen.

REPORT OF JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE ON MYSORE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCE MARKET BILL, 1962.

(Presentation.)

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Co-operation and Housing).—Sir, I beg to present the report of the Joint Select Committee on the Mysore Agricultural Produce Markets Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Joint Select Committee Report on the Mysore Agricultural Production Markets Bill, 1962, is presented.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before we proceed further, I would like the Hon'ble Member Sri Lakkappa to kindly pay attention to what I say. On a prior occasion, the Hon'ble Member Sri Lakkappa said "There are so many points of order which have been raised in this House and decisions on them are still awaited from the Hon'ble Speaker." I requested the Hon'ble Member to give me a list and I gave him time for a day. This time was extended and I gave him three days' time. And the intervening period from that day till today is also there. I reminded him yesterday and I reminded the Leader of the Opposition also. I remind the Member today also. I will await till tomorrow if necessary.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—My intention was not to make any allegation against the Speaker. My intention was according to the Rules of Procedure, for the points of order raised, the Speaker usually used to give a ruling then and there. In that way many rulings were not given then and there when points of order were raised. With that idea I put it and I hope, Sir, my intention is clear.

Mr. SPEAKER.—How am I to know or divine the intentions of the Hon'ble Member when he said that he was awaiting the rulings? He said that the Chair has not given rulings on points of order raised and he said that there were so many points of order. Can he give me a list? It it not better for the member to do so? I said on that occasion:

> "Does the Hon'ble Member still maintain that there are points of orders raised and not ruled upon? If his memory is so bad, he must not venture to assert and disturb the even course of the work in the House. Without having a single instance, he

(MR. SPEAKER)

says a large number of points of order. I will give him time till tomorrow. If he furnishes a list, I will try to deal with them. Otherwise I will certainly call for an explanation from this Member."

That was what I said. Is there a list ready now? Let the member please tell me. I am not going to find fault with him.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Shravanabelagola).—He has made himself clear now.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He is now being guided.

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—Sir, points of order are raised and rulings are given. But when a ruling is not in favour of the person who raised the point of order, then the member presumes that no ruling was given. In that sense, my friend Mr. Lakkappa.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is that sense? Let me understand. Sri Gowda has made his position worse. I will have to think ten times before giving a ruling to a member of that type. If a ruling is given and if he thinks that the ruling is not given, if it is against him—I cannot allow that kind of logic.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The point of order did not receive the ruling the member expected.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then he must say that the decision has not been in my favour, but not say that ruling has not been given.

Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY (Gandasi).—Even after such a long lapse of time, the member is not in a position to recollect his memory, and the chapter may be closed. Let it not be prolonged for tomorrow. I request that it may be closed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I think there has been sufficient talk about this. I do not want to worsen the position. The member will kindly note that instead of making an allegation of a serious type that a large number of rulings were not given, he should give instances. Giving a ruling is an elementary duty of the Speaker. If the Speaker is not able to give a ruling, he does not deserve to be a presiding officer. His duty is to step down and say that he cannot give a ruling. The Hon'ble Member by his persistent questions makes the position still worse.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ (ತಿಪಟೂರು).—ಮನಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ಆಘಾತ ಬೇಡಾರು ತಾವು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any how, I will treat it as closed.

THIRTY-SEVENTH OF THE REPORT BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Mr. SPEAKER.—With regard to the report of the Business Advisory Committee, I have been informed by the Office

that the report has been put in the pigeonholes. Who said that it was not in the pigeonhole? Members do not see, but bring a serious charge on the Fioor of the House against the office. If it was so, I wanted to administer a rebuke to the office.

Sri K. LAKKAPAA.—When we say it was not there, it must have been put afterwards.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They must see.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ನಾನು ಬಂದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಪಿಜನ್ ಹೋಲ್ ವರೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಪಿಜನ್ ಹೋಲ್ ದಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ನೋಡುವುದು ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will consider it. But the Hon'ble Member must not think that everybody comes only by the way he comes. At times, the Hon'ble Member has peculiar notions. (*Laughter*).

May I take it that the 37th Report of the Business Advisory Committee is agreed to by the House? If you want, I will give half an hour more, if there is anything. Otherwise, let us not unnecessarily spend the time of the House. That is over.

The motion was adopted.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ (ಮದ್ದೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಆಫ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್ 51 ನೆಯ ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ದಿವಸ ಅರ್ಧ ಗಂಟೆಯ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒಂದು ಅಷನರದ ಠರಾವು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I said that I am considering it. In fact, I tried to get at the Member by sending a messenger. But he comes two minutes before the House starts. Those that give notice must come and see the Chair in their own interest as well as in my interest. It is not necessary for me to call the members at all. But I am trying to understand things and see what is possible.

CITY OF BANGALORE CORPORATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1966.

(Introductions of Bills)

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Sir, I beg to introduce the City of Bangalore Municipal Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 1966.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

THE MYSORE SECONDARY EDUCATION EXAMINATION BOARD BILL, 1966.

Motion to consider—(contd.)

†ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ; ಈ ಸೆಕಂಡರಿ ಎಗ್ಜಾಮಿನೇಷನ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಬಿಲ್ ತಂದಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿರುವಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. Of late, they have become mushrooms. ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳು ಈ ತರಹ ಮಷ್‌ರೂಮ್ಸ್ ಆಗಿರಬಾರದು, ಅವು